

# Epstein–Barr Virus Infection in Children Following Acute SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Irine Korinteli,<sup>1</sup> Irma Korinteli,<sup>1</sup> Leli Shanidze,<sup>2</sup> Mzia Khalvashi,<sup>3</sup> Eliso Turkadze<sup>4</sup>

DOI: [10.52340/GBMN.2023.01.01.32](https://doi.org/10.52340/GBMN.2023.01.01.32)

## ABSTRACT

### BACKGROUND.

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), a human herpesvirus with potent B cell growth transforming ability, induces multiple cellular immune responses in the infected host. According to the most recent evidence, the Epstein–Barr virus is characterized by lifetime latency in B cells and intermittent recrudescence of lytic infection triggered by stresses. Furthermore, several investigations have hypothesized that autoimmune mechanisms and the persistence of SARS-CoV-2 virus fragments induce the development of long-term COVID-19. Therefore, other latent host viruses, such as Epstein–Barr virus, play an important role.

### OBJECTIVES

The present study aimed to provide insight into clinical-laboratory aspects in pediatric patients with EBV after COVID-19.

### METHODS

This prospective study was conducted from November 2021 to November 2022 at the Tbilisi state medical university G. Zhvania Pediatric Academic Clinic. 1- 3 years old, 81 outpatients with EBV infection (male 42 [51,8%] and female 66 [48,1%]) were distributed into basic (42 patients after acute SARS-CoV-2 infection) and control groups (32 patients without a history of COVID-19). All study subjects had EBV infection markers, and conventional clinical/laboratory indices evaluated.

### RESULTS

The incidence of EBV infection is high (60.4%) in the post-COVID-19 period. Tonsil enlargement, cough, and splenomegaly were more prevalent in the basic group than in the control group: 85,7% vs. 40,6%, 81,6%, 85,7% vs. 20,5%, and 79,5% vs. 25,6%, respectively.

### CONCLUSIONS

The increased incidence of EBV infection in children after the COVID-19 pandemic is a challenge requiring optimized clinical care strategies.

### KEYWORDS

COVID-19; EBV infection; SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## BACKGROUND

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), a human double-stranded DNA herpesvirus with potent B cell growth transforming ability, induces multiple cellular immune responses in the infected host.<sup>1-3</sup>

According to the latest data, the Epstein–Barr virus is characterized by lifelong latency in B cells and intermittent recrudescence of lytic infection caused by stressors.<sup>4</sup> Some studies have suggested that autoimmune factors and the persistence of viral fragments of the SARS-CoV-2 virus cause the development of long-term COVID-19.<sup>5</sup> The role of other latent host viruses, such as Epstein–Barr virus, is significant.

The COVID-19 pandemic is one of the biggest challenges that has emerged concerning the health of children. As of February 7, 2023, there have been 754,816,715 confirmed cases and 830,232 deaths globally.<sup>6</sup> Since the beginning of the epidemic until February 2023, about 15.4 million children in the United States have tested positive for COVID-19.<sup>7</sup>

The first case of COVID-19 in Georgia was confirmed on February 26, 2020.<sup>8</sup> COVID-19 was the leading cause of morbidity in children under 15 in 2021 (1025.6 per 100,000) with high hospitalization and mortality rate (1%).<sup>8</sup>

According to accessible data, acute SARS-CoV-2 infection can contribute to the development of other diseases, including Epstein–Barr virus infection.<sup>5</sup>

In the present study, we aimed to provide insight into clinical-laboratory aspects in pediatric patients with EBV after COVID-19.

## METHODS

This study was conducted from November 2021 to November 2022 at the Tbilisi state medical university G. Zhvania Pediatric Academic Clinic. 1- 3 years old, 81 outpatients with EBV infection (male 42 [51,8%] and female 66 [48,1%]) were distributed into basic (42 [60.4%] patients



after acute SARS-CoV-2 infection) and control groups (32 [39.5%] patients without a history of COVID-19).

The following investigations were performed in all study patients: full blood count (FBC), C-reactive protein (CRP), EBV serology (anti-viral capsid antigen IgM and IgG [anti-VCA IgM and anti-VCA IgG]), heterophile antibodies IgM [HA-IgM], anti-EBV nuclear antigen IgG [anti-EBNA IgG], and anti-early antigen IgG [anti-EA IgG], and abdominal ultrasound.

Descriptive statistics and T-tests were used for statistical analysis of data. A p-value under 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The clinical characteristics of study patients are represented in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Clinical characteristics of all study patients

| Symptoms/signs                         | Basic group<br>N=49 | Control group<br>N=32 |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Fever, n, (%)                          | 49 (100)            | 32 (100)              |
| Nasal obstruction, n, (%)              | 37 (94.8)           | 30 (61.2)             |
| Neck lymphadenopathy, n, (%)           | 44 (89.7)           | 13 (40.6)             |
| Enlarged tonsils/tonsil stones, n, (%) | 42 (85.7)           | 13 (40.6)             |
| Cough, n, (%)                          | 40 (81.6)           | 8 (20.5)              |
| Splenomegaly, n, (%)                   | 39 (79.5)           | 10 (25.6)             |
| Snoring during the sleep, n, (%)       | 32 (63.3)           | 20 (51.2)             |
| Fatigue, n, (%)                        | 24 (48.7)           | 20 (51.2)             |
| Hepatomegaly, n, (%)                   | 22 (44.8)           | 7 (21.8)              |
| Abdominal pain, n, (%)                 | 21 (42.8)           | 7 (21.7)              |
| Rash, n, (%)                           | 20 (40.8)           | 5 (15.6)              |
| Headache, n, (%)                       | 11 (22.4)           | 6 (18.7)              |
| Eyelid edema, n, (%)                   | 7 (14.2)            | 1 (3.1)               |

Table 2 presents the laboratory test results in the comparator groups.

TABLE 2. Laboratory test results of study patients

| Test                                 | Basic group<br>N=49 | Control group<br>N=32 | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| WBC, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L, M±SD       | 11.95 ± 2.48        | 10.91 ± 1.48          | <0.05   |
| Neutrophils, (%), M±SD               | 35.87 ± 1.13        | 32.29 ± 0.13          | <0.05   |
| Lymphocytes, (%), M±SD               | 62.0 ± 1.03         | 64.0 ± 1.21           | <0.05   |
| Atypical lymphocytes, (%), M±SD      | 10.0 ± 2.2          | 9.2 ± 1.8             | <0.05   |
| Monocytes, (%), M±SD                 | 14 ± 1.22           | 11 ± 1.13             | <0.05   |
| Platelets, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L, M±SD | 243.0 ± 90          | 221.0 ± 88            | >0.05   |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL, M±SD               | 106 ± 10.1          | 100 ± 10.0            | <0.03   |
| CRP, mg/L, M±SD                      | 10.9 ± 12.2         | 10.7 ± 11.6           | <0.05   |

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; M±SD, mean ± standard deviation; WBC, white blood cells.

Table 3 contains the results of EBV serological testing.

TABLE 3. Results of EBV serological testing of study patients

| Serological Markers                                     | Basic group<br>N=49 | Control group<br>N=32 | P-value |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Positive HA IgM, anti-VCA IgM, and anti-VCA IgG, n, (%) | 32 (65.3)           | 27 (84.3)             | <0.05   |
| Positive anti-VCA IgM, and anti-VCA IgG, n, (%)         | 17 (34.6)           | 5 (15.6)              | <0.05   |

Abbreviations: anti-VCA IgG, anti-viral capsid antigen IgG; anti-VCA IgM, anti-viral capsid antigen IgM; HA IgM, heterophile antibodies IgM.

## DISCUSSION

According to the existing evidence, the incidence of EBV is high after the resolution of acute SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>4</sup> The results of the present study corroborate the trend mentioned above; we found that EBV exposure is 60.4% in patients after acute COVID-19 disease (p<0.05).

The distribution and frequency of clinical symptoms and signs of EBV infection in our study subjects follow the previously reported evidence.<sup>1</sup> Tonsil enlargement, cough, and splenomegaly were more prevalent in the basic group than in the control group: 85,7% vs. 40,6%, 81,6%, 85,7% vs. 20,5%, and 79,5% vs. 25,6%, respectively.

All laboratory test (hemoglobin, total white blood cells and their subtypes, and C-reactive protein) results were significantly higher in EBV-infected patients following the acute COVID-19, except platelet count. These findings back up the findings of Yanming Wu et al.<sup>3</sup>

EBV serological testing results were also different between comparators. The positive heterophile antibodies IgM (HA IgM) cases were significantly higher in EBV-infected patients after acute SARS-CoV-2 infection (p<0.05). There is no relevant literature data concerning EBV serology in children after COVID-19.

## CONCLUSIONS

The increased prevalence of EBV infection in children after the COVID-19 pandemic is an issue that requires enhanced treatment measures.

## AUTHOR AFILIATION

<sup>1</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Medicine, Tbilisi State Medical University (TSMU), Tbilisi, Georgia;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Laboratory and Diagnostic, G. Zhvania Pediatric Academic Clinic, Tbilisi State Medical University (TSMU), Tbilisi, Georgia;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, "Brothers" International Hospital of Batumi, Batumi, Georgia;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neonatology, The First University Clinic of Tbilisi State Medical University (TSMU), Tbilisi, Georgia.

## REFERENCES

- Alan B. Rickinson, Heather M. Long, Umamainthan Palendira. Cellular immune controls over Epstein-Barr virus infection: new lessons from the clinic and the laboratory/Trends in Immunology Volume 35, Issue 4, April 2014, Pages 159-169, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2014.01.003>.
- Ankesh Gupta, Surbhi Khurana, Rojaleen Das ..., Rapid chromatographic immunoassay-based evaluation of COVID-19. A cross-sectional, diagnostic test accuracy study & its implications for COVID-19 management in India, Indian Journal of Medical Research 153(1-2):p 126-131, Jan-Feb 2021. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR\_3305\_20.
- Yanming Wu, Suli Ma, Lingjun Zhang..., Clinical manifestations and laboratory results of 61 children with infectious mononucleosis, J Int Med Res. 2020 Oct; 48(10):300060520924550. doi: 10.1177/0300060520924550.
- Ying Liang, Ligong Hou, Guangjun Hou..., The influences of the COVID-19 pandemic on Epstein-Barr virus infection in children, Henan, China, J Infect. 2023 May; 86(5): 525-528. Published online 2023 Jan 20. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2023.01.021.

5. Ralf D. Hess, Routine Epstein-Barr Virus Diagnostics from the Laboratory Perspective: Still Challenging after 35 Years, *J Clin Microbiol*, 2004 Aug; 42(8): 3381–3387, <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.42.8.3381-3387.2004>.
6. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard, <https://covid19.who.int/>
7. Children and COVID-19: State-Level Data Report, American Academy of Pediatrics, <https://www.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/children-and-covid-19-state-level-data-report/>
8. COVID-19 statistic in Georgia, <https://www.ncdc.ge/#/pages/file/ea1784b5-d3d0-4dd9-b29f-1369f5d6bbec>.
9. Gold JE, Okyay RA, Licht WE, Hurley DJ. Investigation of long COVID 19 prevalence and its relationship to Epstein–Barr virus reactivation, *Pathogens*, 2021;10(6):763, <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10060763/>